

PAUL R. KIESEL (SBN 119854)
KIESEL BOUCHER LARSON LLP
8648 Wilshire Boulevard
Beverly Hills, California 90211-2910
Telephone: 310/854.4444
310/854.0812 (fax)

HORWITZ, HORWITZ & PARADIS
Attorneys at Law
PAUL O. PARADIS
28 West 44th Street, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: 212/404-2200
212/404-2226 (fax)

JS-6

Lead Counsel For Lead Plaintiff The Rodriguez Group

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

LOUIS GRASSO, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VITESSE SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION, DR. VINCENT
CHAN, PH.D., JAMES A. COLE, ALEX
DALY, MOSHE GAVRIELOV, JOHN C.
LEWIS, DR. LOUIS TOMASETTA,
PH.D., YATIN MODY, EUGENE F.
HOVANEK and EDWARD ROGAS, JR.
and KPMG LLP,

Defendants.

NO. CV-06-2647-R

No. (CV 06-2639 R (CTx))

CLASS ACTION

FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER
OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
OF DEFENDANT KPMG LLP

1 This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order of this
2 Court, dated November 17, 2008, on the application of the Settling Parties for
3 approval of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of June
4 16, 2008 (the “KPMG Stipulation”). Due and adequate notice having been given to
5 the Class as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed
6 and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed about the settlement
7 and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND
8 DECREED that:

9 1. With respect to the capitalized terms set forth herein, the Court, for
10 purposes of this Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice of Defendant
11 KPMG adopts the definitions set forth in the KPMG Stipulation.

12 2. Any term used herein and not defined should be construed consistent
13 with the definitions set forth in the KPMG Stipulation.

14 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and
15 over all parties to the Litigation, including all Members of the Class.

16 4. The Court finally certifies, for settlement purposes only, a Class of all
17 Persons who purchased Vitesse Semiconductor Corporation common stock between
18 January 27, 2003 and April 27, 2006, inclusive. Excluded from the Class are Vitesse
19 Semiconductor Corporation (the “Company”), the officers and directors, employees,
20 affiliates, legal representatives, heirs, predecessors, successors and assigns, and any
21 entity in which the Company has a controlling interest or of which the Company is a
22 parent or subsidiary.

23 5. With respect to the Class, this Court finds that: (a) the Members of the
24 Class are so numerous that joinder of all Class Members in the Action is
25 impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class which
26 predominate over any individual question; (c) the claims of the Lead Plaintiff are
27 typical of the claims of the Class; (d) the Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel have fairly
28 and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Class Members; and (e) a

1 class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
2 adjudication of the controversy, considering: (i) the interests of the Members of the
3 Class in individually controlling the prosecution of the separate actions, (ii) the extent
4 and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by
5 Members of the Class, (iii) the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the
6 litigation of these claims in this particular forum, and (iv) the difficulties likely to be
7 encountered in the management of the class action.

8 6. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby
9 approves the settlement set forth in the KPMG Stipulation and finds that said
10 settlement is, in all respects, fair, just, reasonable and adequate to, and in the best
11 interests of, the Lead Plaintiff, the Class and each of the Class Members.

12 7. Except as to any individual claim of those Persons (identified in Exhibit 1
13 hereto) who have validly and timely requested exclusion from the Class, the Litigation
14 and all claims contained therein, as well as all of the Released Claims, are dismissed
15 with prejudice as to the Lead Plaintiffs and the other Members of the Class, as against
16 each and all of the Released Persons. The Settling Parties are to bear their own costs,
17 except as otherwise provided in the KPMG Stipulation.

18 8. The Court finds that the Stipulation and settlement are fair, just,
19 reasonable and adequate as to each of the Members of the Class, and that the
20 Stipulation and settlement are hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling
21 Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.

22 9. By operation of this Judgment and upon the occurrence of the Effective
23 Date, the Lead Plaintiffs shall have, and each of the Class Members shall be deemed
24 to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever
25 released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Released
26 Persons, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim
27 and Release.

1 10. All Class Members are hereby forever barred and enjoined from
2 prosecuting any and all of the Released Claims against any and all of the Released
3 Persons.

4 11. By operation of this Judgment and upon the occurrence of the Effective
5 Date, Defendant KPMG shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment
6 shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged each and
7 all of the Class Members, Lead Plaintiff, Lead Counsel and Plaintiffs' Counsel from
8 all claims (including Unknown Claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection
9 with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or
10 the Released Claims.

11 12. The Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action given
12 to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the
13 individual notice to all Members of the Class who could be identified through
14 reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the
15 circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the
16 proposed settlement set forth in the KPMG Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such
17 notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil
18 Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process.

19 13. Any Plan of Allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered
20 regarding any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect
21 this Final Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Final Judgment.

22 14. Neither the KPMG Stipulation, nor any of its terms, provisions or
23 Exhibits, nor the settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document
24 executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the KPMG Stipulation or the settlement: (a)
25 is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the
26 validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released
27 Persons, or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or
28 evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Released Persons in any civil,

1 criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other
2 tribunal. The Released Persons may file the KPMG Stipulation and/or the Judgment
3 from this Action in any other action that may be brought against them in order to
4 support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral
5 estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any theory of
6 claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

7 15. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court
8 hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and
9 any award or distribution of the KPMG Settlement Fund, including interest earned
10 thereon; (b) disposition of the KPMG Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining
11 applications for attorneys' fees, interest and expenses in the Litigation; and (d) all
12 parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the KPMG
13 Stipulation.

14 16. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling
15 Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of
16 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

17 17. In accordance with §21D(f)(7) of the Private Securities Litigation Reform
18 Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(f)(7), each of the Released Persons, by virtue of this
19 Judgment, is discharged from all claims for contribution brought by any Person. This
20 Judgment and bar order constitutes the final discharge of all obligations to the Lead
21 Plaintiff and Class of the Released Persons arising out of the Litigation. This
22 Judgment shall bar all future claims for contribution arising out of the Litigation by
23 any Person against the Released Persons; and by the Released Persons against any
24 Person.

25 18. In the event that the KPMG Settlement does not become effective in
26 accordance with the terms of the KPMG Stipulation, or the KPMG Stipulation
27 terminates according to its provisions, or in the event that the KPMG Settlement Fund,
28 or any portion thereof, is returned to the Defendant KPMG or their insurers, or this

1 Judgment does not become Final, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void
2 and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases given in
3 connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance
4 with the KPMG Stipulation.

5 19. The Court directs entry of final judgment as to all claims against
6 Defendant KPMG based upon the Court's determination that there is no just reason
7 for delay for the entry of such judgment.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 DATED: November 17,
11 2008 _____



12
13 _____
14 THE HONORABLE MANUEL L. REAL
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28